

A. Control Measure under Consideration	B. Summary Description of Measure	C. Program/Measure Status	D. Specific Implementation Date	E. VOC Reduction	F. NOx Reduction	G. Resources (FTE's, \$\$)	H. Additional Information
Appalachia, SC (Designated - Attainment)							
<p style="text-align: center;">DECEMBER 2006 CHEROKEE COUNTY</p> <p>Based on stakeholder consultation and taking into consideration resource and political constraints, the following emission reduction strategies remain under consideration. The County will continue to evaluate the air quality within the county and may implement one or more of the following measures under consideration.</p>							
Support SCHDEC statewide efforts to reduce ozone levels	DHEC representatives met with Cherokee County EAC to present grant funding and other information about public awareness and promoting ozone educational efforts.	Completed.	Feb-06	Related.	Related.	n/a	
Public Awareness efforts.	Dennis Fowler, manager, WAGI Radio, agreed to broadcast ozone alert messages for Cherokee County.	Completed.	March 10, 2006	Related.	Related.	n/a	
Public Education	Chairman and staff advisor to Cherokee County EAC Committee travelled to Columbia, SC for information about monitoring sites in SC.	Completed.	15-Mar-06	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	
Public Awareness efforts.	Presented news release for Ozone Awareness Week.	Completed.	15-May-06	Related.	Related.	n/a	
<p>Comments:</p> <p>1. December 2004 - SC EAC SIP - activity not quantified for several reasons (first) in accordance with EAC Protocol, after all adopted Federal and State controls were accounted for in the modeling, it was determined that local controls were not necessary to demonstrate attainment of the 8-hour ozone standard. Measures were submitted by the local areas to show their continued support and commitment to the EAC process. (second) this activity is directionally sound and should provide air quality benefits and in some cases measurable results. The progress toward implementing this activity and the benefits derived will be documented as a part of the ongoing reporting requirements.</p> <p>2. December 2003 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac.html - additional information provided by the county to include "findings", "advantages/disadvantages", "recommendations", "costs", etc....</p> <p>3. March 2004 - Local Early Action Plan - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0304.asp</p> <p>4. June 2004 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0604.asp</p> <p>5. December 2004 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_1204.asp</p> <p>6. December 2004 - SC EAC SIP - Including Appendix 8 (Local Early Action Plans and the Air Quality Awareness and Improvement Policy) and Appendix 16 (County Level Emission Reductions and Descriptions for the Ozone EAC Areas) - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_sip.html</p> <p>7. April 20, 2005 - Correspondence to Mr. Palmer including clarifying supplemental information to the EAC SIP submittal of December 2004. - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_sip.html</p> <p>8. June 2005 - Progress Report - See - http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0605.asp</p>							